REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are presently pending. Claims 8-16 are cancelled without prejudice. Claims 17-23 are withdrawn from consideration pursuant to Assignee's election of February 27, 2007 following the restriction requirement.

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from Jain in view of Premat. Claims 3-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from Jain in view of Premat, and further in view of Billhartz.

Claims 8-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson in view of Yamamoto. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson in view of Yamamoto and further in view of Goldman. Claims 8-16 are cancelled without prejudice.

Claim 1 recites, among other limitations, "transmitting information to the mobile terminal, the password being a function of the information". Examiner has indicated that

Jain discloses ... transmitting information to the mobile terminal (See e.g. 11, Lines 4-18). However, Jain does not disclose a method for providing a password, the password being function of the information. In an analogous field of endeavor, Premat discloses a method for providing a password, the password being function of the information (See e.g., identification information, user identity, name, password, login, etc. Page 10, Lines 12-Page 11, Line 4).

Premat, Page 10, Line 12+, recites, "In step U3, the user identification information, including the user name and the user password, entered by the user on his communication terminal 1 or 3, respectively, is transmitted

over the communications network 5 to the authentication server 4." Emphasis Added.

Assignee respectfully submits the combination of Jain in view of Premat does not teach "transmitting information to the mobile terminal, the password being a function of the information" because Premat teaches that "the user password, entered by the user". Therefore, the combination does not teach "the password being a function of the information" (transmitting information to the mobile terminal). Additionally, for this reason alone, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 1, and requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Claim 6 recites, among other limitations, "wherein transmitting the information to the mobile terminal comprises: placing an outgoing phone call to the mobile terminal." The claim limitation, "the information" gets antecedent basis from claim 1, "transmitting information to the mobile terminal, the password being a function of the information."

Examiner has indicated that Jain discloses transmitting the information to the mobile terminal ... comprises: placing an output going phone call to the mobile terminal (See e.g., original a call 11, lines 4-18). While Jain teaches that "a mobile station can receive messages or an incoming call", Jain does not teach "transmitting information to the mobile terminal, the password being a function of the information" "wherein transmitting the information to the mobile terminal comprises: placing an outgoing phone call to the mobile terminal". Accordingly, for this reason alone, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 6.

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, each of the pending claims is in a condition for allowance. Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

Please charge any required fees not paid herewith or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd., Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,

June 8, 2007

Mirut Dalal Registration No. 44,052 Attorney for Assignee

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000 FAX (312) 775-8100